Economic Valuation Workshop

Cape Town; July 2007

EVW

Environment and Economy : The Link

- Economy needs and relies on environment as:
 - source of energy; source of materials; major element of social capital; depository for waste!
- Bad' economic management therefore 'degrades ' environment:
 - depletes resources and energy; impacts social capital and increases waste

And hence:

- the environmental revolution of the 60's, SHD of the 80's, Agenda 21 of the 90's and Millennium Development Goals – and to some extent the Ecosystem Based Approach



But does environment management needs economics ?

No for two reasons !

- Environment is not for sale ; environment is priceless and pricing environment is capitalism at its worst !

- Environmental valuation is practically impossible and the studies carried out are often so much off the mark that are not taken seriously!



But :

Claim to be 'priceless' is essentially faulty because:

- many environmental goods do already have market prices ; oil
- for those that do not have prices one can generate and/or estimate prices
- this approach can lead to zero growth excluding billions from right to materials welfare
- this approach can lead to environmental arrogance and can exclude environmental projects from decision making process where decisions made on costs & benefits !

But there might be red-lines not be crossed where there are irreversible damages ; quotas, Red lists etc are few examples



Yes, it does because

- In our global, market based economies 'money' is the language that is understood by all. To be heard <u>we need to talk in money terms</u>.
- Economics is the science of 'efficient' allocation of scarce resources including environmental resources. If economic principles of utility maximization within budget constraints are not followed then <u>we simply waste our resources</u>.
- In other words we always need to look at our <u>benefits</u> and <u>costs</u> for any decision to use resources
- . Efficiency in this context is a <u>Pareto Optimum</u> where no one can get better off unless someone gets worse off .



Challenges

- The Pareto efficiency assumes 'perfect markets', that is free and full information for all, self -centered decision makers, equal power to influence prices. It also assumes knowledge of future to ensure 'sustainability'.
- For environmental resources we often don't have 'correct prices' due to
 - externalities
 - public goods and common properties
 - Market failures and absence of markets
 - Government intervention
 - Lack of knowledge on future generations preferences



But it is not hopeless because:

- There is a body of economic theory and practice that can assist
 - Environmental Impact Assessment , monetized to the extent possible
 - Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA); Cost Efficiency Analysis (CEA)
 - Total Economic Value as sum of Use Value (human based direct use , indirect use and option use)) and Non use Value (intrinsic bequest value and existence value)
 - Valuation techniques including Production Change, Hedonic Costing, Contingent Valuation method (Willingness to Pay); Travel Costing



Are we being taken seriously ?

 Not by a lot of planners as our numbers are often biased by personal judgment, by poor data, absent long term data etc

- Not by macro economists in national accounting
 - Not by radical environmentalists !

Yes by the World Bank , GEF and UNDP – not sure about UNEP ?

Varied in different countries /different levels



Anything that we can do to be better heard ?

- Need to become convinced ourselves first!
- Be better trained !
- Build it into our projects .
- Focus on the doable, simple , understandable issues !

www.caspianenvironment.org

