

Resources for activities



Resources for sustaining ICPDR activities:
resource needs, sources, actions

Mitija Bricelj, Gyla Hollo, Maria Galambos, Eva Sovjakova,
Hannes Wolf, Magdi Toth, Kari Eik, Andrew Menz, Alan Fox,
Vladimir Mamaev, Janot Mendler De Suarez, Alexei
Iarochevitch, Nikola Marjanovic, Reuf Hadzibegic, Birgit Vogel,
Wolfgang Stalzer, Fritz Holzath, Knut Beyer, Jasmine
Bachmann, Philip Weller

Outcomes



- ICPDR in good position, current budget for core activities is secured
- Additional needs: 200.000 Euros (est.)
- Focus should be on priorities:
 - Danube River Protection Convention (GIS, AEWS)
 - WFD Implementation (DRBM Plan / JPM)
 - Flood Action Programme (flood risk mapping)
 - Ensure equal participation of the Danube Countries

Outcomes



- Flexibility of funding is crucial (quick and simple)
- „Moral“ duty to share lessons learned (speakers, DEF)
- Business Friends Fund:
good idea, focus on selected water companies, in all countries
- In-kind contributions of countries are important, but cannot be a regular part of the core budget
- Include water users into consideration

3

Additional tasks



- Climate change:
targeted approach
adaptation patters
- Ensure the link to the Black Sea
- Public Participation: The participation of the stakeholders is a key issue of the ICPDR, but it is expensive and needs funding in future. The PP of all stakeholder should be secured in the future, based on open communication.

4

Funding



- Funding needed for application of funds
- Funding packages
- GEF Adaptation Fund
- EU funding (Neighbourhood Funds, European Water Initiative, EU Programmes)
- IW:Learn: exchange lessons learnt from the Danube to other basin (also within the basin?)